



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,135	02/27/2004	Daryl B. Olander	ORACL-01401US0	9244
80548	7590	07/09/2010		
FLIESLER MEYER LLP 650 CALIFORNIA STREET 14TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108			EXAMINER HEFFINGTON, JOHN M	
			ART UNIT 2179	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 07/09/2010	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OFFICEACTIONS@FDML.COM

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,135	OLANDER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOHN HEFFINGTON	2179	

All Participants:

(1) JOHN HEFFINGTON.

(2) Ted Liu.

Status of Application: After Non-Final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

claim 1

Claims discussed:

claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

Burd, Hind

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

With respect to independent claims 1 and 27, the examiner suggested adding the language "pre-render" before the limitation, "processing the one or more controls in the render queue ..." The examiner further suggested adding the language "including the pre-rendered controls" after the limitation "advancing the control tree". The examiner also suggested including changing the preamble to read "A method for rendering a graphical user interface, comprising:" and moving the rest of the preamble, "accepting a request in order to generate a response" into the body of the claim. The examiner stated that the proposed amendments may overcome the prior art of record.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SARA ENGLAND/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2179

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)